arXiv:1504.07007v1 [math.DG] 27 Apr 2015

On the multiplicity of closed geodesics on Finsler spheres with irrationally elliptic closed geodesics

Huagui Duan[1],[*] Hui Liu[2][†]

[1] School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University,
Tianjin 300071, P. R. China
[2] Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics, USTC, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China,
Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China

April 21, 2015

Abstract

If all prime closed geodesics on (S^n, F) with an irreversible Finsler metric F are irrationally elliptic, there exist either exactly $2\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$ or infinitely many distinct closed geodesics. As an application, we show the existence of three distinct closed geodesics on bumpy Finsler (S^3, F) if any prime closed geodesic has non-zero Morse index.

Key words: Closed geodesics, multiplicity, bumpy, Finsler spheres, irrationally elliptic
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C22, 58E05, 58E10.

1 Introduction and main results

There is a famous conjecture in Riemannian geometry which claims that there exist infinitely many closed geodesics on any compact Riemannian manifold. This conjecture has been proved except for CROSS's (compact rank one symmetric spaces). The results of Franks [Fra] in 1992 and Bangert [Ban] in 1993 imply that this conjecture is true for any Riemannian 2-sphere. But once one move to the Finsler case, the conjecture becomes false. It was quite surprising when Katok [Kat] in 1973 found some irreversible Finsler metrics on CROSS's with only finitely many closed geodesics and all closed geodesics being non-degenerate and elliptic.

---

[*] Partially supported by NSFC (No.11131004, 11471169), LPMC of MOE of China and Nankai University. E-mail: duanhg@nankai.edu.cn

[†] Partially supported by NSFC (No.11401555), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation No.2014T70589, CUSF(No. WK0010000037). E-mail:huiliu@ustc.edu.cn

Definition 1.1. (cf. [She]) *Let M be a finite dimensional smooth manifold. A function $F : TM \to [0, +\infty)$ is a* Finsler metric *if it satisfies*

(F1) F is C^∞ on $TM \setminus \{0\}$,

(F2) $F(x, \lambda y) = \lambda F(x, y)$ for all $x \in M$, $y \in T_xM$ and $\lambda > 0$,

(F3) For every $y \in T_xM \setminus \{0\}$, the quadratic form

$$g_{x,y}(u, v) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t}F^2(x, y + su + tv)|_{t=s=0}, \qquad \forall u, v \in T_xM,$$

is positive definite.

In this case, (M, F) is called a Finsler manifold*. F is* reversible *if $F(x, -y) = F(x, y)$ holds for all $x \in M$ and $y \in T_xM$. F is* Riemannian *if $F(x, y)^2 = \frac{1}{2}G(x)y \cdot y$ for some symmetric positive definite matrix function $G(x) \in GL(T_xM)$ depending on $x \in M$ smoothly.*

A closed curve on a Finsler manifold is a closed geodesic if it is locally the shortest path connecting any two nearby points on this curve (cf. [She]). As usual, on any Finsler manifold (M, F), a closed geodesic $c : S^1 = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} \to M$ is *prime* if it is not a multiple covering (i.e., iteration) of any other closed geodesics. Here the m-th iteration c^m of c is defined by $c^m(t) = c(mt)$. The inverse curve c^{-1} of c is defined by $c^{-1}(t) = c(1 - t)$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Note that unlike Riemannian manifold, the inverse curve c^{-1} of a closed geodesic c on a irreversible Finsler manifold need not be a geodesic. We call two prime closed geodesics c and d *distinct* if there is no $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that $c(t) = d(t + \theta)$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$. On a reversible Finsler (or Riemannian) manifold, two closed geodesics c and d are called *geometrically distinct* if $c(S^1) \neq d(S^1)$, i.e., their image sets in M are distinct. We shall omit the word *distinct* when we talk about more than one prime closed geodesic.

For a closed geodesic c on (S^n, F), denote by P_c the linearized Poincaré map of c. Then $P_c \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n - 2)$ is symplectic. For any $M \in \mathrm{Sp}(2k)$, we define the *elliptic height* $e(M)$ of M to be the total algebraic multiplicity of all eigenvalues of M on the unit circle $\mathbf{U} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} | \, |z| = 1\}$ in the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$. Since M is symplectic, $e(M)$ is even and $0 \le e(M) \le 2k$. A closed geodesic c is called *elliptic* if $e(P_c) = 2(n-1)$, i.e., all the eigenvalues of P_c locate on $\mathbf{U}$; *irrationally elliptic* if, in the homotopy component $\Omega^0(P_c)$ of P_c (cf. Section 2 below), P_c can be connected to the $\diamond$-product of $(n - 1)$ rotation matrices $\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_i & -\sin\theta_i \\ \sin\theta_i & \cos\theta_i \end{pmatrix}$ with θ_i being irrational multiples of π for $1 \le i \le n - 1$; *hyperbolic* if $e(P_c) = 0$, i.e., all the eigenvalues of P_c locate away from $\mathbf{U}$; *non-degenerate* if 1 is not an eigenvalue of P_c. A Finsler manifold (M, F) is called *bumpy* if all the closed geodesics on it are non-degenerate.

Recently the closed geodesic problem on Finsler spheres has aroused many authors' interest (cf. [BaL], [DuL1]-[DuL3], [HWZ1]-[HWZ2], [Lon5], [LoD], [LoW], [Rad3]-[Rad4], [Wan] and so on).

Note that Hingston in [Hin] proved the existence of infinitely many closed geodesics on Riemannian spheres if all prime closed geodesics are hyperbolic. The results of [HWZ1]-[HWZ2] imply that there exist either two or infinitely many prime closed geodesics on every bumpy Finsler 2-sphere provided the stable and unstable manifolds of every hyperbolic closed geodesic intersect transversally. After the work [BaL] of Bangert and Long, Long and Wang in [LoW] proved that on every Finsler 2-sphere, there exist either at least two irrationally elliptic closed geodesics or infinitely many closed geodesics.

In the Katok's examples of [Kat] which have been studied later by Ziller in [Zil], Katok constructed some irreversible Finsler metrics on S^n with exact $2\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$ closed geodesics and all of them being irrationally elliptic. Based on these facts, Anosov in [Ano] conjectured that there exist at least $2\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$ closed geodesics on every Finsler spheres (S^n, F). Furthermore one suspects that all these closed geodesics on S^n with an irreversible Finsler metric F are irrationally elliptic provided the number of prime closed geodesics is finite (cf. [Lon5] for more conjectures of the closed geodesic problem). See [WHL] for a similar conjecture about closed characteristics on compact convex hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$.

Thus it seems interesting to study the multiplicity problem on the Finsler sphere (S^n, F) with irrationally elliptic closed geodesics. The following is our main result.

Theorem 1.2. *If all prime closed geodesics on (S^n, F) with an irreversible Finsler metric F are irrationally elliptic, there exist either exactly $2\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$ or infinitely many distinct closed geodesics.*

In [DuL2], Duan and Long showed that on every bumpy Finsler (S^3, F) either there exist at least three distinct closed geodesics, or there exists two non-hyperbolic closed geodesics. As an application of Theorem 1.2, we obtain

Theorem 1.3. *There exist at least three distinct closed geodesics on a bumpy Finsler (S^3, F) if any prime closed geodesic on it has non-zero Morse index.*

In this paper, let $\mathbf{N}$, $\mathbf{N}_0$, $\mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{Q}$, $\mathbf{R}$, and $\mathbf{C}$ denote the sets of natural integers, non-negative integers, integers, rational numbers, real numbers, and complex numbers respectively. We use only singular homology modules with $\mathbf{Q}$-coefficients. For an S^1-space X, we denote by $\overline{X}$ the quotient space X/S^1. We define the functions

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} [a] = \max\{k \in \mathbf{Z} \,|\, k \le a\}, & E(a) = \min\{k \in \mathbf{Z} \,|\, k \ge a\}, \\ \varphi(a) = E(a) - [a], & \{a\} = a - [a]. \end{array}\right. \tag{1.1}$$

Especially, $\varphi(a) = 0$ if $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $\varphi(a) = 1$ if $a \notin \mathbf{Z}$.

2 Morse theory and Morse indices of closed geodesics

Let $M = (M, F)$ be a compact Finsler manifold (M, F), the space $\Lambda = \Lambda M$ of H^1-maps $\gamma : S^1 \to M$ has a natural structure of Riemannian Hilbert manifolds on which the group $S^1 = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ acts continuously by isometries (cf. [Kli]). This action is defined by $(s\cdot\gamma)(t)=\gamma(t+s)$ for all $\gamma\in\Lambda$ and $s,t\in S^1$. For any $\gamma\in\Lambda$, the energy functional is defined by

$$E(\gamma)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{S^1}F(\gamma(t),\dot{\gamma}(t))^2dt. \tag{2.1}$$

It is $C^{1,1}$ and invariant under the S^1-action. The critical points of E of positive energies are precisely the closed geodesics $\gamma: S^1\to M$. The index form of the functional E is well defined along any closed geodesic c on M, which we denote by $E''(c)$. As usual, we denote by $i(c)$ and $\nu(c)$ the Morse index and nullity of E at c. In the following, we denote by

$$\Lambda^\kappa=\{d\in\Lambda\mid E(d)\le\kappa\},\quad \Lambda^{\kappa-}=\{d\in\Lambda\mid E(d)<\kappa\},\quad \forall\kappa\ge0. \tag{2.2}$$

For a closed geodesic c we set $\Lambda(c)=\{\gamma\in\Lambda\mid E(\gamma)<E(c)\}$.

For $m\in\mathbf{N}$ we denote the m-fold iteration map $\phi_m:\Lambda\to\Lambda$ by $\phi_m(\gamma)(t)=\gamma(mt)$, for all $\gamma\in\Lambda, t\in S^1$, as well as $\gamma^m=\phi_m(\gamma)$. If $\gamma\in\Lambda$ is not constant then the multiplicity $m(\gamma)$ of γ is the order of the isotropy group $\{s\in S^1\mid s\cdot\gamma=\gamma\}$. For a closed geodesic c, the mean index $\hat{i}(c)$ is defined as usual by $\hat{i}(c)=\lim_{m\to\infty}i(c^m)/m$. Using singular homology with rational coefficients we consider the following critical $\mathbf{Q}$-module of a closed geodesic $c\in\Lambda$:

$$\overline{C}_*(E,c)=H_*\left((\Lambda(c)\cup S^1\cdot c)/S^1,\Lambda(c)/S^1\right). \tag{2.3}$$

Proposition 2.1. (cf. Satz 6.11 of [Rad2]) *Let c be a prime closed geodesic on a bumpy Finsler manifold (M,F). Then there holds*

$$\overline{C}_q(E,c^m)=\begin{cases}\mathbf{Q}, & \textit{if}\ \ i(c^m)-i(c)\in2\mathbf{Z}\ \ \textit{and}\ \ q=i(c^m),\\ 0, & \textit{otherwise}.\end{cases}$$

Set $\overline{\Lambda}^0=\overline{\Lambda}^0M=\{\text{constant point curves in } M\}\cong M$. Let (X,Y) be a space pair such that the Betti numbers $b_i=b_i(X,Y)=\dim H_i(X,Y;\mathbf{Q})$ are finite for all $i\in\mathbf{Z}$. As usual the *Poincaré series* of (X,Y) is defined by the formal power series $P(X,Y)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}b_it^i$. We need the following well known version of results on Betti numbers.

Lemma 2.2. (cf. Theorem 2.4 and Remark 2.5 of [Rad1] and [Hin], cf. also Lemma 2.5 of [DuL3]) *Let (S^n,F) be an n-dimensional Finsler sphere.*

(i) *When n is odd, the Betti numbers are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} b_j &= \mathrm{rank}H_j(\Lambda S^n/S^1,\Lambda^0S^n/S^1;\mathbf{Q})\\ &= \begin{cases}2, & \textit{if}\quad j\in\mathcal{K}\equiv\{k(n-1)\,|\,2\le k\in\mathbf{N}\},\\ 1, & \textit{if}\quad j\in\{n-1+2k\,|\,k\in\mathbf{N}_0\}\setminus\mathcal{K},\\ 0 & \textit{otherwise}.\end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

(ii) *When n is even, the Betti numbers are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} b_j &= \mathrm{rank} H_j(\Lambda S^n/S^1, \Lambda^0 S^n/S^1; \mathbf{Q}) \\ &= \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if} \quad j \in \mathcal{K} \equiv \{k(n-1) \,|\, 3 \le k \in 2\mathbf{N}+1\}, \\ 1, & \text{if} \quad j \in \{n-1+2k \,|\, k \in \mathbf{N}_0\} \setminus \mathcal{K}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Next we recall some index iterated formulae of closed geodesics (cf. [Bot] and [Lon1]-[Lon4]).

In [Lon2] of 1999, Y. Long established the basic normal form decomposition of symplectic matrices. Based on this result he further established the precise iteration formulae of indices of symplectic paths in [Lon3] of 2000. Note that this index iteration formulae works for Morse indices of iterated closed geodesics (cf. [LiL], [Liu] and Chap. 12 of [Lon4]). Since every closed geodesic on a sphere is orientable. Then by Theorem 1.1 of [Liu], the initial Morse index of a closed geodesic c on a n-dimensional Finsler sphere coincides with the index of a corresponding symplectic path.

As in [Lon4], denote by

$$N_1(\lambda, a) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & a \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{for } \lambda = \pm 1, \ a \in \mathbf{R}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$H(b) = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & b^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{for } b \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$R(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{for } \theta \in (0,\pi) \cup (\pi, 2\pi), \tag{2.8}$$

$$N_2(e^{\theta\sqrt{-1}}, B) = \begin{pmatrix} R(\theta) & B \\ 0 & R(\theta) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{for } \theta \in (0,\pi) \cup (\pi, 2\pi) \text{ and}$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ b_3 & b_4 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } b_j \in \mathbf{R}, \text{ and } b_2 \ne b_3. \tag{2.9}$$

Here $N_2(e^{\theta\sqrt{-1}}, B)$ is non-trivial if $(b_2 - b_3)\sin\theta < 0$, and trivial if $(b_2 - b_3)\sin\theta > 0$ as defined in [Lon3] and Definition 1.8.11 of [Lon4].

As in [Lon4], the $\diamond$-sum (direct sum) of any two real matrices is defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B_1 \\ C_1 & D_1 \end{pmatrix}_{2i\times 2i} \diamond \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & B_2 \\ C_2 & D_2 \end{pmatrix}_{2j\times 2j} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & B_2 \\ C_1 & 0 & D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 & 0 & D_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For every $P \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n)$, the homotopy set $\Omega(P)$ of P in $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ is defined by

$$\Omega(P) = \{N \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n) \,|\, \sigma(N) \cap \mathbf{U} = \sigma(P) \cap \mathbf{U} \equiv \Gamma \text{ and } \nu_\omega(N) = \nu_\omega(P)\, \forall \omega \in \Gamma\},$$

where $\sigma(P)$ denotes the spectrum of P, $\nu_\omega(P) \equiv \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \ker_{\mathbf{C}}(P - \omega I)$ for $\omega \in \mathbf{U}$. The homotopy component $\Omega^0(P)$ of P in $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ is defined by the path connected component of $\Omega(P)$ containing P. Then the following decomposition theorem is proved in [Lon2] and [Lon3].

Theorem 2.3. (cf. Theorem 1.8.10, Lemma 2.3.5 and Theorem 8.3.1 of [Lon4]) *For every* $P \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n)$*, there exists a continuous path* $f \in \Omega^0(P)$ *such that* $f(0) = P$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned} f(1) &= N_1(1,1)^{\diamond p_-} \diamond I_{2p_0} \diamond N_1(1,-1)^{\diamond p_+} \diamond N_1(-1,1)^{\diamond q_-} \diamond (-I_{2q_0}) \diamond N_1(-1,-1)^{\diamond q_+} \\ &\quad \diamond N_2(e^{\alpha_1\sqrt{-1}}, A_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond N_2(e^{\alpha_{r_*}\sqrt{-1}}, A_{r_*}) \diamond N_2(e^{\beta_1\sqrt{-1}}, B_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond N_2(e^{\beta_{r_0}\sqrt{-1}}, B_{r_0}) \\ &\quad \diamond R(\theta_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond R(\theta_k) \diamond R(\theta_{k+1}) \diamond \cdots \diamond R(\theta_r) \diamond H(b)^{\diamond h}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $\frac{\theta_j}{2\pi} \notin \mathbf{Q} \cap (0,1)$ *for* $1 \le j \le k$ *and* $\frac{\theta_j}{2\pi} \in \mathbf{Q} \cap (0,1)$ *for* $k+1 \le j \le r$*;* $N_2(e^{\alpha_j\sqrt{-1}}, A_j)$*'s are non-trivial and* $N_2(e^{\beta_j\sqrt{-1}}, B_j)$*'s are trivial, and non-negative integers* $p_-, p_0, p_+, q_-, q_0, q_+, r, r_*, r_0, h$ *satisfy*

$$p_- + p_0 + p_+ + q_- + q_0 + q_+ + r + 2r_* + 2r_0 + h = n. \tag{2.11}$$

Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(2n) = \{\gamma \in C([0,\tau], \mathrm{Sp}(2n)) \,|\, \gamma(0) = I\}$*. Denote the basic normal form decomposition of* $P \equiv \gamma(\tau)$ *by (2.10). Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} i(\gamma^m) &= m(i(\gamma) + p_- + p_0 - r) + 2\sum_{j=1}^{r} E\left(\frac{m\theta_j}{2\pi}\right) - r \\ &\quad -p_- - p_0 - \frac{1+(-1)^m}{2}(q_0 + q_+) + 2\sum_{j=1}^{r_*} \varphi\left(\frac{m\alpha_j}{2\pi}\right) - 2r_*. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

3 Proof of main theorems

At first we prove Theorem 1.2. Now we assume the following condition holds,

(IECG): Suppose that all prime closed geodesics on (S^n, F) with finitely many distinct closed geodesics are irrationally elliptic, denoted by $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^q$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2:

Under the assumption (**IECG**), by the decomposition in Theorem 2.3, the linearized Poincaré map P_{c_j} can be connected to $f_{c_j}(1)$ in $\Omega^0(P_{c_j})$ satisfying

$$f_{c_j}(1) \;=\; R(\theta_{j1}) \diamond \cdots \diamond R(\theta_{j(n-1)}), \quad 1 \le j \le n-1, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\frac{\theta_{jk}}{2\pi} \notin \mathbf{Q}$ for $1 \le j \le q$ and $1 \le k \le n-1$. Then by Theorem 2.3, we obtain

$$i(c_j) \;\in\; 2\mathbf{Z} + (n-1), \qquad 1 \le j \le q, \tag{3.2}$$

$$i(c_j^m) \;=\; m(i(c_j) - n + 1) + 2\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left[\frac{m\theta_{jk}}{2\pi}\right] + n - 1, \quad \nu(c_j^m) = 0, \qquad \forall\, m \ge 1, \tag{3.3}$$

where (3.2) follows from Theorem 8.1.7 of [Lon4] and the symplectic additivity of iterated indices.

By (3.2) and (3.3), there holds

$$i(c_j^{m+1}) - i(c_j^m) \in 2\mathbf{Z}, \qquad \forall\, 1 \le j \le q, \quad m \ge 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Define

$$M_p = \sum_{j=1}^{q} M_p(j) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{q} \#\{m \ge 1 \mid i(c_j^m) = p,\ \overline{C}_p(E, c_j^m) \ne 0\}, \quad p \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Then the following Morse inequality (cf. Theorem I.4.3 of [Cha]) holds

$$\begin{aligned} M_p &\ \ge\ b_p, & (3.5)\\ M_p - M_{p-1} + \cdots + (-1)^p M_0 &\ \ge\ b_p - b_{p-1} + \cdots + (-1)^p b_0, \quad \forall\, p \in \mathbf{N}_0. & (3.6) \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.1 and (3.4) we obtain

$$M_p = \sum_{j=1}^{q} M_p(j) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \#\{m \ge 1 \mid i(c_j^m) = p\}, \qquad \forall\, p \in \mathbf{Z}, \tag{3.7}$$

which, together with (3.2) and Lemma 2.2, yields

$$M_p = b_p = 0, \qquad \forall\, p = n \ (\mathrm{mod} 2),\ p \in \mathbf{N}_0. \tag{3.8}$$

Then by the Morse inequality (3.6), we obtain

$$M_p = b_p, \qquad \forall\, p = n-1 \ (\mathrm{mod} 2),\ p \in \mathbf{N}_0. \tag{3.9}$$

Claim 1: *Under the condition (IECG), there holds $i(c_j) \ge n-1$, $\forall\ 1 \le j \le q$. Moreover, $\#\{1 \le j \le q \mid i(c_j) = n-1\} = 1$.*

In fact, if there exists at least one closed geodesic c_{j_0} such that $0 \le i(c_{j_0}) < n-1$, then by the definition of M_p it yields $M_{i(c_{j_0})} \ge 1$. Note that $i(c_{j_0}) = n-1$ (mod2) by (3.2). Then by the Morse inequality and Lemma 2.2 we can obtain the following contradiction

$$-1 \ge M_{i(c_{j_0})+1} - M_{i(c_{j_0})} + \cdots + (-1)^{i(c_{j_0})+1} M_0 \ge b_{i(c_{j_0})+1} - b_{i(c_{j_0})} + \cdots + (-1)^{i(c_{j_0})+1} b_0 = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

In addition, by (3.9) and Lemma 2.2, there holds $M_{n-1} = b_{n-1} = 1$. So $\#\{1 \le j \le q \mid i(c_j) = n-1\} = 1$ by (3.7). So Claim 1 holds.

By Claim 1, without loss of generality, assume that $i(c_1) = n-1$ and $i(c_j) \ge n+1$, $\forall\ 2 \le j \le q$. Then by (3.3), it yields $\hat{i}(c_j) = i(c_j) - (n-1) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\theta_{jk}}{\pi} > 0$, $\forall\ 1 \le j \le q$. Thus by the common index jump theorem (cf. Theorems 4.1-4.3 of [LoZ]), there exist infinitely many $(N, m_1, \ldots, m_q) \in \mathbf{N}^{q+1}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} i(c_1^{2m_1-1}) &= 2N - i(c_1) = 2N - (n-1), & (3.11)\\ i(c_1^{2m_1+1}) &= 2N + i(c_1) = 2N + (n-1), & (3.12)\\ 2N - (n-1) &\le i(c_1^{2m_1}) \le 2N + (n-1), & (3.13)\\ i(c_j^{2m_j-1}) &= 2N - i(c_j) \le 2N - (n+1), & (3.14)\\ i(c_j^{2m_j+1}) &= 2N + i(c_j) \ge 2N + (n+1), & (3.15)\\ 2N - (n-1) &\le i(c_j^{2m_j}) \le 2N + (n-1), \quad 2 \le j \le q, & (3.16) \end{aligned}$$

where, furthermore, given $M_0 \in \mathbf{N}$, we can require $M_0|N$ (Note that the closure of the set $\{\{Nv\} : N \in \mathbf{N},\ M_0|N\}$ is still a closed additive subgroup of $\mathbf{T^h}$ for some $h \in \mathbf{N}$, where v is defined in (4.21) of [LoZ]. Then we can use the proof of Step 2 in Theorem 4.1 of [LoZ] to get N). The freedom of choice of N will be used in the proof of Claim 3 below.

Claim 2: *There holds* $i(c_1^{2m_1+m}) \geq 2N + (n+1)$, $i(c_1^{2m_1-m}) \leq 2N - (n+1)$ *for any* $m \geq 2$.

In fact, by $\#\{m \geq 1 \mid i(c_1^m) = n-1\} = M_{n-1} = b_{n-1} = 1$ we get $i(c_1^m) \geq n+1,\ \forall\ m \geq 2$. So by (3.3) and $i(c) = n-1$ we have

$$i(c_1^2) = 2\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left[\frac{\theta_{1k}}{\pi}\right] + n - 1 \geq n+1, \tag{3.17}$$

which implies that there exists at least some rotation angel θ_{1r} with $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ such that $1 < \frac{\theta_{1r}}{\pi} < 2$. Therefore again by (3.3) and $i(c_1) = n-1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} i(c_1^{2m_1+2}) - i(c_1^{2m_1+1}) &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\left[\frac{m_1\theta_{1k}}{\pi} + \frac{\theta_{1k}}{\pi}\right] - \left[\frac{m_1\theta_{1k}}{\pi} + \frac{\theta_{1k}}{2\pi}\right]\right) \\ &\geq 2\left(\left[\frac{m_1\theta_{1r}}{\pi} + \frac{\theta_{1r}}{\pi}\right] - \left[\frac{m_1\theta_{1r}}{\pi} + \frac{\theta_{1r}}{2\pi}\right]\right) \\ &= 2\left(\left[\left\{\frac{m_1\theta_{1r}}{\pi}\right\} + \left\{\frac{\theta_{1r}}{\pi}\right\}\right] - \left[\left\{\frac{m_1\theta_{1r}}{\pi}\right\} + \frac{\theta_{1r}}{2\pi}\right]\right) + 2 \\ &= 2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where the last equality holds by choosing

$$\left\{\frac{m_1\theta_{1r}}{\pi}\right\} > \max\left\{1 - \left\{\frac{\theta_{1r}}{\pi}\right\}, 1 - \frac{\theta_{1r}}{2\pi}\right\}. \tag{3.19}$$

Similarly we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} i(c_1^{2m_1-1}) - i(c_1^{2m_1-2}) &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\left[\frac{m_1\theta_{1k}}{\pi} - \frac{\theta_{1k}}{2\pi}\right] - \left[\frac{m_1\theta_{1k}}{\pi} - \frac{\theta_{1k}}{\pi}\right]\right) \\ &\geq 2\left(\left[\frac{m_1\theta_{1r}}{\pi} - \frac{\theta_{1r}}{2\pi}\right] - \left[\frac{m_1\theta_{1r}}{\pi} - \frac{\theta_{1r}}{\pi}\right]\right) \\ &= 2\left(\left[\left\{\frac{m_1\theta_{1r}}{\pi}\right\} - \frac{\theta_{1r}}{2\pi}\right] - \left[\left\{\frac{m_1\theta_{1r}}{\pi}\right\} - \left\{\frac{\theta_{1r}}{\pi}\right\}\right]\right) + 2 \\ &= 2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where the last equality holds by choosing

$$\left\{\frac{m_1\theta_{1r}}{\pi}\right\} > \max\left\{\left\{\frac{\theta_{1r}}{\pi}\right\}, \frac{\theta_{1r}}{2\pi}\right\}. \tag{3.21}$$

Here the existence of m_1 satisfying requirements (3.19) and (3.21) can be made sure by (4.5) of Theorem 4.1, (4.43) in the proof of Theorem 4.3 and (c) of Theorem 4.2 in [LoZ], or directly by Corollary 3.19 of [DuL3].

In addition, it follows from $i(c_1) = n-1$ and (3.3) that $i(c_1^{m+1}) \geq i(c_1^m)$, $\forall\ m \geq 1$. Then by (3.11)-(3.12), (3.18) and (3.20), Claim 2 is proved.

Claim 3: $q = 2\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$.

We use two cases to carry out the proof of Claim 3:

Case 1: $n \in 2\mathbf{N}$.

For the integer N in the common index jump theorem, we can require $(n-1)|N$. Thus $b_{2N+n-1} = b_{2N-n+1} = 2, b_p = 1, \forall\ p \in (2N-(n-1), 2N+(n-1)) \cap (2\mathbf{N}-1)$ by Lemma 2.2. Thus together with (2.5), it yields

$$\sum_{p=2N-(n-1)}^{2N+n-1} b_p = \frac{(2N+n-1)-(2N-n+1)}{2} + 3 = n+2. \tag{3.22}$$

On the other hand, by (3.7), (3.11)-(3.16) and Claim 2 we have

$$\sum_{p=2N-(n-1)}^{2N+n-1} M_p(1) = 3, \qquad \sum_{p=2N-(n-1)}^{2N+n-1} M_p(j) = 1, \quad \forall\ 2 \leq j \leq q,$$

which yields

$$\sum_{p=2N-(n-1)}^{2N+n-1} M_p = 3 + q - 1 = q+2. \tag{3.23}$$

Thus from (3.8)-(3.9), (3.22) and (3.23) it follows

$$n+2 = \sum_{p=2N-(n-1)}^{2N+n-1} b_p = \sum_{p=2N-(n-1)}^{2N+n-1} M_p = q+2, \tag{3.24}$$

which yields $q = n$ and completes the proof of Claim 3 in Case 1.

Case 2: $n \in 2\mathbf{N}-1$.

For the integer N in the common index jump theorem, we can require $(n-1)|N$. Thus $b_{2N+n-1} = b_{2N} = b_{2N-n+1} = 2, b_p = 1, \forall\ p \in 2\mathbf{N} \cap (2N-(n-1), 2N+(n-1)) \backslash \{2N\}$ by Lemma 2.2. Thus similarly to the proof in Case 1 it yields

$$\begin{aligned} n+3 &= \frac{(2N+n-1)-(2N-n+1)}{2} + 4 \\ &= \sum_{p=2N-(n-1)}^{2N+n-1} b_p \\ &= \sum_{p=2N-(n-1)}^{2N+n-1} M_p \\ &= q+2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

which yields $q = n+1$ and completes the proof of Claim 3 in Case 2.

By the assumption (**IECG**) and Claim 3, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.2. ▮

Proof of Theorem 1.3:

It is proved in Remark 3.7 of [DuL2] that suppose that there exist precisely two prime closed geodesics c_1 and c_2 on every bumpy Finsler (S^3, F), then both c_1 and c_2 are non-hyperbolic and must belong to one of three precise classes there. Furthermore, if any prime closed geodesic on it has non-zero Morse index, then both c_1 and c_2 are irrationally elliptic. Thus as an application of Theorem 1.2 we proved Theorem 1.3. ▮

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank sincerely Professor Yiming Long for his valuable help and encouragement to them. And the authors also thank sincerely him for his comments, suggestions and helpful discussions about the closed geodesic problem with them.

References

[Ano] D. V. Anosov, Geodesics in Finsler geometry. *Proc. I.C.M.* (Vancouver, B.C. 1974), Vol. 2. 293-297 Montreal (1975) (Russian), *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* 109 (1977) 81-85.

[Ban] V. Bangert, On the existence of closed geodesics on two-spheres. *Internat. J. Math.* 4 (1993) 1-10.

[BaL] V. Bangert, Y. Long, The existence of two closed geodesics on every Finsler 2-sphere. *Math. Ann.* 346 (2010) 335-366.

[Bot] R. Bott, On the iteration of closed geodesics and the Sturm intersection theory. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 9 (1956) 171-206.

[Cha] K. C. Chang, Infinite Dimensional Morse Theory and Multiple Solution Problems, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.

[DuL1] H. Duan and Y. Long, Multiple closed geodesics on bumpy Finsler n-spheres. *J. Diff. Equa.* 233 (2007) 221-240.

[DuL2] H. Duan and Y. Long, Multiplicity and stability of closed geodesics on bumpy Finsler 3-shpheres. *Calc. Var.* 31 (2008) 483-496.

[DuL3] H. Duan and Y. Long, The index growth and multiplicity of closed geodesics. *J. Funct. Anal.* 259 (2010) 1850-1913.

[Fra] J. Franks, Geodesics on S^2 and periodic points of annulus homeomorphisms. *Invent. Math.* 108 (1992) 403-418.

[Hin] N. Hingston, Equivariant Morse theory and closed geodesics. *J. Differential Geom.* 19 (1984), no. 1, 85-116.

[HWZ1] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki and E. Zehnder, The dynamics on three-dimensional strictly convex energy surfaces. *Ann. of Math.* 148 (1998) 197-289.

[HWZ2] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki and E. Zehnder, Finite energy foliations of tight three shperes and Hamiltonian dynamics. *Ann. of Math.* 157 (2003) 125-255.

[Kat] A. B. Katok, Ergodic properties of degenerate integrable Hamiltonian systems. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR.* 37 (1973) (Russian), *Math. USSR-Izv.* 7 (1973) 535-571.

[Kli] W. Klingenberg, Lectures on Closed Geodesics. Springer. Berlin. 1978.

[Liu] C. Liu, The relation of the Morse index of closed geodesics with the Maslov-type index of symplectic paths. *Acta Math. Sinica.* English Series 21 (2005) 237-248.

[LiL] C. Liu and Y. Long, Iterated index formulae for closed geodesics with applications. *Science in China.* 45 (2002) 9-28.

[Lon1] Y. Long, Maslov-type index, degenerate critical points and asymptotically linear Hamiltonian systems. *Science in China.* Series A. 33(1990) 1409-1419.

[Lon2] Y. Long, Bott formula of the Maslov-type index theory. *Pacific J. Math.* 187 (1999) 113-149.

[Lon3] Y. Long, Precise iteration formulae of the Maslov-type index theory and ellipticity of closed characteristics. *Advances in Math.* 154 (2000) 76-131.

[Lon4] Y. Long, Index Theory for Symplectic Paths with Applications. Progress in Math. 207, Birkhäuser. Basel. 2002.

[Lon5] Y. Long, Multiplicity and stability of closed geodesics on Finsler 2-spheres. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 8 (2006) 341-353.

[LoD] Y. Long and H. Duan, Multiple closed geodesics on 3-spheres. *Adv. Math.* 221 (2009) 1757-1803.

[LoW] Y. Long and W. Wang, Stability of closed geodesics on Finsler 2-spheres. *J. Funct. Anal.* 255 (2008) 620-641.

[LoZ] Y. Long and C. Zhu, Closed characteristics on compact convex hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. *Ann. of Math.* 155 (2002) 317-368.

[Rad1] H.-B. Rademacher, On the average indices of closed geodesics. *J. Diff. Geom.* 29 (1989) 65-83.

[Rad2] H.-B. Rademacher, Morse Theorie und geschlossene Geodatische. *Bonner Math. Schriften* Nr. 229 (1992).

[Rad3] H.-B. Rademacher, Existence of closed geodesics on positively curved Finsler manifolds. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems.* 27 (2007) 957–969.

[Rad4] H.-B. Rademacher, The second closed geodesic on Finsler spheres of dimension $n > 2$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 362 (2010) 1413-1421.

[She] Z. Shen, Lectures on Finsler Geometry. World Scientific. Singapore. 2001.

[Wan] W. Wang, Closed geodesics on positively curved Finsler spheres. *Adv. Math.* 218 (2008) 1566-1603.

[WHL] W. Wang, X. Hu and Y. Long, Resonance identity, stability and multiplicity of closed characteristics on compact conves hypersurfaces, *Duke Math. J.* 139 (2007) 411-462.

[Zil] W. Ziller, Geometry of the Katok examples. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* 3 (1982) 135-157.